REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested. This Amendment should be entered under Rule 116 because it places this application in condition for allowance.

Claims 9-25 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 9 and 22 are amended.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

In response to the rejection of claims 9, 12-16, 21-22 and 24-25 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Oliveri et al. (U.S. 2002/0012360), independent claims 9 and 22 are amended, obviating all rejections to the claims, and rendering all claims patentable for the reasons discussed below.

The present invention relates to a method for exchanging data between two layers of a network stack in a data transmission system comprising a header compression and/or decompression mechanism. As described on page 8, lines 4-8 of the specification, the technical problem to be solved is to exchange information between a source decoder and a channel decoder in the presence of intermediate network layers without modification of these layers.

Oliveri appears to disclose a method of signal coding wherein the signal is source coded to obtain a coded data stream, and source significance information (SSI) is included into the coded data stream, wherein the source significance information (SSI) indicates desired protection rates of respective parts of the coded data stream.

Oliveri appears to further propose a method of transmitting SSI within a coded data stream between source and channel coder in the presence of intermediate network layers. For example, in Fig. 7, Oliveri discloses using an intermediate coder implemented at intermediate layers to perform a coding operation wherein the first SSI header is shifted and the offset updated (see paragraph 39 lines 8-10).

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed method is distinguished from Oliveri in that Oliveri implies a modification of intermediate layers and does include or even suggest the use of a header compression/decompression protocol to efficiently exchange SSI and other signaling type of information between layers in a transparent way, as claimed by Applicants.

Furthermore, Oliveri's second solution (*see* Fig 9) does not implement SSI management at the intermediate layers but instead <u>modifies the coded data stream</u> by including an identifier associated to the first SSI header (*see* Oliveri, paragraph 44 lines 1-9). Indeed, Applicants indicate the same technical problem indicated in Oliveri and emphasize on the limitations of the type of solution proposed by Oliveri (*see* specification, page 6, lines 5-8, of the present application, which states, "These solutions have the major drawback of requiring a mechanism capable of constructing or of modifying the content of the IP packets that are valid at the physical level and at the network access level.").

On the contrary, Applicants' recited method is distinguished from Oliveri in that on page 8, lines 4-8, describes the solution as allowing exchange of information between the source decoder and the channel decoder in the presence of intermediate network layers without modification of these layers.

A rejection based on 35 U.S.C. §102 requires every element of the claim to be included in the reference, either directly or inherently. Accordingly, because Oliveri does not disclose, teach or suggest Applicants' recited method for exchanging data between two layers of a network stack in a data transmission system comprising a header compression and/or decompression mechanism located above a network access level, independent claims 9 and 22 are patentable over Oliveri.

Claims 12-16, 21 and 24-25 depend variously from amended independent claims 9 and 22 and are likewise patentable over Oliveri at least for their dependence on an allowable base claim, as well as for additional features they recite. Withdrawal of the rejection over Oliveri is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 10, 11, and 23 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Oliveri in view of Tourunen et al. (U.S. 2002/0001298 A1). Applicants respectfully submit that Tourunen fails to at least remedy the deficiencies of Oliveri.

Tourunen relates to a method for allocating data transmission resources in a packet-switched data transmission system which comprises an operational entity for defining resources for a radio bearer and a header compression module. Tourunen proposes to select the compression method before setting up the radio bearer resources, citing the advantage of optimizing the resources allocation for a radio bearer considering the type of header compression mechanism used, in particular, unidirectional or bidirectional mode.

The Examiner admits that Oliveri fails to disclose shaping the quantized additional information as a function of the characteristics of a protocol stack, and relies on Tourunen to remedy the deficiencies of Oliveri. Applicants respectfully disagree and submit that Tourunen addresses a complete different technical problem that the present application. Indeed Tourunen attempts to efficiently allocate the data transmission resources by selecting the compression method in order to optimize the resources allocated.

Nowhere does Tourunen disclose or suggest a method of exchanging side information between source and channel decoders without modifying intermediate layers by using header compression/decompression properties in order to shape the quantized additional information transmitted as a function of the characteristics of a protocol stack.

Applicants respectfully submit that it would not have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to combine these two documents to create the Applicants' allegedly obvious claim elements, and even if combined, the alleged combination, taken as a whole, does not suggest the Applicants recited solution in claims 10, 11, and 23. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Entry of the amendments is proper under 37 CFR §1.116 since the amendments place the application in condition for allowance (for the reasons discussed herein. The amendments are necessary and were not earlier presented because they are made in response to arguments raised in the final rejection. Entry of the amendments is thus respectfully requested. Early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is courteously solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, Applicants' attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Genneth My Berner

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP

Kenneth M. Berner

Registration No. 37,093

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 684-1111

(703) 518-5499 Facsimile

Date: November 24, 2009

KMB/ERM/ser